

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD
CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No. 1431 of 1999

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.KAPADIA

- =====
- 1 Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be
allowed to see the judgment ?
- 2 To be referred to the Reporter or not ?
- 3 Whether their Lordships wish to see the
fair copy of the judgment ?
- 4 Whether this case involves a substantial
question of law as to the interpretation
of the constitution of India, 1950 or any
order made thereunder ?
- 5 Whether it is to be circulated to the
civil judge ?
- =====

=====

SANTOKBEN WD/O NATWARLAL V MADHAV - Applicant(s)

Versus

NARMADABEN KEVALBHAI PATEL & 6 - Opponent(s)

=====

Appearance :

MR. DAKSHESH MEHTA, for M/S.VYAS ASSOCIATES for Petitioner
No(s).: 1.

MR AB MUNSHI for Respondent No(s).: 1,2.

RULE NOT RECD BACK for Respondent No(s).: 3.

MR SHITAL R PATEL for Respondent No(s).: 4.

RULE UNSERVED for Respondent No(s).: 5.

RULE SERVED for Respondent No(s).: 6,7.

=====

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE A.M.KAPADIA

Date : 26/07/2005

ORAL JUDGMENT

Mr. Dakshesh Mehta, learned advocate for M/s Vyas Associates, learned advocate of the petitioner, upon instructions received from the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the petition since the parties have amicably settled the matter outside the Court.

Mr. A.B.Munshi, learned advocate of respondent Nos. 1 and 2, who are the contesting parties, has no objection if leave as prayed for is granted.

Hence, leave to withdraw the petition is granted. Petition stands disposed of as it is withdrawn, with no order as to costs. Rule is discharged.

(A.M.Kapadia, J)

Jayanti*